



Docket No.: 247394US6YA

OBLON
SPIVAK
MCCLELLAND
MAIER
&
NEUSTADT
P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 10/764,456

Applicants: Steven T. FINK Filing Date: January 27, 2004

For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR IMPROVED

FASTENING HARDWARE

Group Art Unit: 1775
Examiner: XU, LING X

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

PROVISIONAL ELECTION

Our credit card payment form in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAJER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Steven P. Weihrouch

Registration No. 32,829

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax) Edwin D. Garlepp

Registration No. 45,330

1:\ATTY\EDG\2312 - TOKYO ELECTRON\PC\PC 244A\247394US\247394 PTO CVR.DOC

DOCKET NO: 247394US

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

NSKE APPLICATION OF

STEVEN T. FINK : EXAMINER: XU, LING X

SERIAL NO: 10/764,456

FILED: JANUARY 27, 2004 : GROUP ART UNIT: 1775

FOR: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR IMPROVED FASTENING HARDWARE

PROVISIONAL ELECTION

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the election requirement dated September 14, 2005, Applicant provisionally elect with traverse Group I, Claims 1-19 drawn to a product, for further examination on the merits. Applicants reserve the right to file one or more divisional applications directed to the non-elected invention.

Furthermore, while the Election Requirement asserts that the application contains claims to patentably distinct inventions, MPEP § 803 states the following:

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.

Although the outstanding Official Action identifies different search classifications, it is believed that the claims of the present application would have to be searched in a handful of sub-classes. Furthermore, since electronic searching is commonly performed, a search may be made of a large number of, or theoretically all, subclasses without substantial additional

Application No. 10/764,456 Replyto Office Action of September 14, 2005

effort. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully traverse the Restriction Requirement on the grounds that a search and examination of the entire application would not place a *serious* burden on the Examiner, whereas it would be a serious burden on Applicants to prosecute and maintain separate applications.

Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the requirement to elect a single group be withdrawn, and that a full examination on the merits of Claims 1-24 conducted.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Customer Number 22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220 (OSMMN 08/03)

Steven P. Weihrouch
Attorney of Record
Registration No. 32,829

Edwin D. Garlepp Attorney of Record Registration No. 45,330

I:\atty\edg\2312 - Tokyo Electron\PC\PC 244A\247394US\247394 PROVISIONAL ELECTION.DOC